

https://helda.helsinki.fi

Helda

Habitat Suitability Modeling for the Invasive Opuntia stricta Using Remote Sensing and Maxent in Tsavo East National Park, Kenya

Adionyi, Lilian

Sciencedomain international 2024-12

Adionyi , L , Adero , N J , Mutua , S , Korir , D & Siljander , M 2024 , ' Habitat Suitability Modeling for the Invasive Opuntia stricta Using Remote Sensing and Maxent in Tsavo East National Park, Kenya ' , Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International , vol. 28 , no. 12 . https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/2024/v28i12845

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/588975 10.9734/jgeesi/2024/v28i12845

cc_by publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository. This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail. Please cite the original version.



Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International

Volume 28, Issue 12, Page 1-18, 2024; Article no.JGEESI.127212 ISSN: 2454-7352

Habitat Suitability Modeling for the Invasive *Opuntia stricta* Using Remote Sensing and Maxent in Tsavo East National Park, Kenya

Lilian Adionyi ^{a*}, Nashon Adero ^b, Samuel Mutua ^c, David Korir ^d and Mika Siljander ^e

^a Department of Informatics and Computing, Taita Taveta University, Kenya.
 ^b School of Mines & Engineering, Taita Taveta University, PO Box 635, 80300, Voi, Kenya.
 ^c School of Science and Informatics, Taita Taveta University, PO Box 635, 80300, Voi, Kenya.
 ^d Wildlife Research and Training Institute, PO Box 842 20117, Naivasha, Kenya.
 ^e Department of Geosciences and Geography, Helsinki Laboratory of Interdisciplinary Conservation Science (HELICS), University of Helsinki, PO Box 64, 00014, Helsinki, Finland.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/2024/v28i12845

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127212

> Received: 04/10/2024 Accepted: 06/12/2024 Published: 10/12/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Biological invasions represent a major threat to ecosystem services and products, with the potential to disrupt ecosystems across a broad spectrum of bioclimatic regions. Consequently, it is essential to monitor the spread of invasive species systematically and over extensive areas. Remote sensing

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lilianadionyi@gmail.com;

Cite as: Adionyi, Lilian, Nashon Adero, Samuel Mutua, David Korir, and Mika Siljander. 2024. "Habitat Suitability Modeling for the Invasive Opuntia Stricta Using Remote Sensing and Maxent in Tsavo East National Park, Kenya". Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International 28 (12):1-18. https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/2024/v28i12845.

and geographic information systems have long been recognized as valuable tools for achieving this goal. This paper examines the efficacy of optical satellite data from different seasons in detecting the invasive species Opuntia stricta (Australian pest pear) within the southern portion of the Tsavo East National Park. Maximum Entropy (MaxEnt) modelling was employed to determine the most relevant environmental variables, with a total of ten predictors tested. The results demonstrated that ndvi2017dry, rvi2018wet, rvi2017wet, msavi2018dry and rvi2018dry were the most effective Vegetation Indices (Vis) for detection of Opuntia stricta. The study also found that seasonal variations played a significant role in enhancing detection accuracy. The fine-scale MaxEnt modelling predicted core areas of invasion, yielding a mean AUC of 0.718. Suitable habitat within the study area was classified into high, medium, low, and very low categories, with 51 km² identified as highly suitable for Opuntia stricta growth. Further classifications included 37 km², 83 km², and 3,589 km² for medium, low, and very low suitability, respectively. Opuntia stricta was detected in 4.15% of the study area. From this study we concluded that the invasive species remain a big risk to protected areas especially in the Tsavo East National Park. Continued monitoring is recommended especially in areas predicted to have high invasion in future. This study provides baseline data for prioritizing invasive species monitoring and management strategies.

Keywords: AUC; biological invasion; MaxEnt; opuntia stricta; remote sensing; sentinel-2; vegetation indices (Vis).

1. INTRODUCTION

strategies Global conservation focus on protecting biodiversity, conserving representative ecosystems, and managing protected areas. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 has provided a crucial framework for international biodiversity conservation (Rees et al. 2021). However, the creation of protected areas alone does not guarantee ecosystem integrity as they face various threats including the invasion of alien species (Foxcroft et al. 2013). Addressing these threats requires sustained active management. Invasive alien species. in particular, pose serious threats to protected area ecosystems worldwide (Foxcroft et al. 2013). The rise in the number and impact of invasive nonnative species (hereafter referred to as "invasive species") is leading to biodiversity loss, ecosystem degradation, and the impairment of ecosystem services on a global scale (Pyšek & Richardson 2010, Foxcroft & Rejmánek 2007, Simberloff et al. 2013, Waititu et al. 2022, Musengi et al. 2021, Shackleton et al. 2017). Among these, "Opuntia stricta is listed in the 100 of the World's Worst Invasive Alien Species (CABI, 2014).

Relatively little has been done in terms of the study and management of *Opuntia* in Kenya in particular and East Africa in general". Githae (2018) "reviewed the existing literature on the invasion of *Opuntia* in Kenya. Her study involved a review of published information from a variety of sources, including online scientific

publications, books, field guides and expert opinion on invasive alien species with a focus on *Opuntia stricta* species in Kenya.

Key priority pathways and impacts were categorized according to ecological and socioeconomic impacts and also categorized based on the current framework of invasive species. Much review on the status and impacts of invasive alien species (IAS) in Kenya has been done to update knowledge on the occurrence and drivers of introduction. Such studies are crucial for developing protocols for prevention and management of Opuntia stricta. Nevertheless, further comparative studies on the target species, their pathways of introduction, and spatial distribution are essential to enhance understanding of the interacting factors driving their invasion" (Githae 2018).

Impacts of invasive species have been examined from ecological and economic perspectives while studies based on social perspectives, though still limited, are gaining increased attention (Wells et al. 2021, García et al. 2012, Wilson et al. 2011, Kannan et al. 2014). An understanding of the anthropogenic perspective is crucial, as human activities influence plant distribution and necessitate management of invasions to reduce negative impacts and maximize potential benefits. Local people's perception of the value, impact, and management of Opuntia stricta in the context of pastoralist in Kenya has been the area of focus of late with broad scale surveys being undertaken. Some progress has been made in integrating the management of invasive alien

species with other management functions while emphasizing the human aspect (Foxcroft et al. 2013). According to (Strum et al. 2015), it is important to look at the underlying causes of alien plant invasions to avoid exacerbating their impact on rangelands.

"However, appropriate and effective measures for the monitoring, control, or elimination of these species are essential. Additionally, laws and regulations prohibiting the introduction and use of such species should be strictly enforced and consistently upheld. Effective policies are needed to prevent an increase in the significant negative impacts caused by IAS, including those that are not well established and with limited distribution" (Githae 2018). "Poor description of the species because of variation in growth form, lack of information on proper management, and poor monitoring and control measures contribute to the spread of the invasive species. Effective management of Opuntia in the country requires comprehensive mapping and inventory of the species across the affected counties. Additionally, creating awareness among the communities on the effects of invasions and possible solutions is vital" (Githae 2018).

Studies done (Ouko et al. 2020) support that high-resolution time-series images may result in new findings on the distribution of invasive species (Opuntia stricta) in Northern Kenya. Ouko et al. (2020) "predicted the potential distribution of A. reficiens and Opuntia spp under different climate change scenarios using bioclimatic variables. Furthermore, mapping on the distribution of Acacia reficiens and Opuntia spp. in the Samburu - Laikipia region using a time series of MODIS vegetation indices and topographic environmental variables was carried out. Similarly, a study on Opuntia stricta in Laikipia, Kenya was undertaken using ensemble machine learning classifiers" (Muthoka et al. 2021).

Recent advances in active and passive remote sensing technology have created new and costeffective opportunities for the application of remote sensing to invasive species mapping. "Field observations are expensive and laborious, satellite imaging is likely the only cost-effective approach to map IAS across extensive areas" (Xue & Su 2017). Studies by (Naharki et al. 2024) using drones equipped with optical sensors could offer an effective and nearly realtime way to detect *A. altissima*. (Resasco et al. 2007) "conducted long-term monitoring studies of the Amur honeysuckle (*Lonicera maackii*) using optical sensors like Landsat imagery. Specifically, remote sensing has emerged as a powerful tool for the detection and monitoring of invasive plant species" (Huang & Asner 2009). "MODIS was used in mapping presence and predicting phenological status of invasive buffelgrass in Southern Arizona where they accurately predicted buffelgrass patches in Saguaro National Park" (Wallace et al. 2016).

Bradley & Mustard (2005) "took advantage of the amplified interannual response of invasive cheat grass (Bromus tectorum) to map infestations across the Great Basin watershed using Landsat and Advanced Very High-Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) data. Cheat grass (Bromus tectorum), an invasive annual grass, has also been successfully detected because it germinates in winter months prior to most native grasses." Peterson (2006) estimated and mapped percent cheat grass ground cover in Nevada on the basis of the invasive species' relatively early spring green-up and subsequent senescence, which were identifiable from two Landsat ETM+. Peterson was able to distinguish cheat grass from other vegetation by using scenes from Landsat 7 ETM+ on two different dates within a single year. In both cases, the researchers were able to exploit subtle phenological differences (i.e. extended growing season, rapid response) between the invaders and associated native flora within a growing season.

Similarly, studies (Skowronek et al. 2016) show that the detection rates for low cover plots were considerably higher for invasive herb (Centaurea solstitialis) than for invasive grass (P. aquatica) using airborne imaging spectroscopy. According to a study done classified IKONOS imagery may be useful for inferring landscape patterns that relate to the persistence and spread of Melaleuca and other invasive species (Fuller 2005). In southern California (Hamada et al. used discriminant analyses 2007) of presence/absence data hierarchical and clustering with hyperspectral imagery collected in October. Overall accuracy of their research varied by scene and minimum patch size, and results tended to over classify tamarisk distribution. These studies demonstrate an evolution of remote sensing and image processing for detecting tamarisk and other invasive species. "The development of new airborne and satellite sensors and platforms, coupled with advanced statistical software, geographic information systems (GIS), and predictive models, give researchers a variety of tools to detect and predict the distribution of invasive species. The insight applied in quantifying the suitability areas for the invasive species can be extrapolated to other protected areas with the same species."

Besides, most previous studies have used remote sensing to map invasive species *Opuntia stricta* (Ouko et al. 2020), but seasonal composites have not been used. In this study, we used Sentinel-2 satellite images and the MaxEnt model to map *Opuntia stricta* in Tsavo East National Park. To examine the effect of seasonality on the mapping, we created seasonal composites for both the dry and wet seasons. From these composites, we calculated various vegetation indices to identify the optimal set of features for mapping *Opuntia stricta*

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive study of that uses seasonal composites to model the *Opuntia stricta* in the Tsavo National Park. The research findings will assist policymakers and Park managers on prioritizing control efforts on areas vulnerable to species invasion. The results and the proposed control strategies, from this study, will enhance conservation efforts by providing insights in the management of the *Opuntia Stricta* species in the protected area.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Site Description

The study was carried out in the southern part of Tsavo East National Park situated in Taita Taveta County in Kenya (Fig. 1). The park lies between latitude 2.659°S and longitude 38.973°E and the altitude ranges between 300 and 500 m above sea level (m.a.s.l.) and covers an area c. 4120 Km². The study area experiences a mean annual rainfall varies locally between 250 and 500 mm (Leuthold 1978). Most of the rain falls in two rainy seasons namely: March-May and November-December (Tyrrell & Coe 1974). Meanwhile, June-October constitutes a long dry season (Leuthold 1978, Mcknight 2000, Ngene et al. 2013). The study area is dominated by Acacia-Commiphora bushlands and thickets (Tyrrell & Coe 1974). The study area also supports a diversity of wildlife species and is an important tourist destination (Pinter-Wollman 2009).



Fig. 1. Study area of the Southern part of Tsavo East National Park

2.2 Remote Sensing Data – Sentinel-2 Imagery

European Space Agency (ESA) Sentinel-2 mission provides open access to earth observation data at a high spatial as well as temporal resolution (Ng et al. 2017). Sentinel-2 constellation comprises two satellites Sentinel-2A and Sentinel-2B with approximately 5 days' revisit time. Each satellite has 13 bands (Table 1) ranging from visible to short wave infrared portion of the electromagnetic spectrum with a maximum spatial resolution of 10 m (https://eos.com/find-satellite/sentinel-2/). In this study data were acquired between January 2017 and 2018 December, which almost matched with field survey dates to ensure that any observed differences were due to the variables of interest rather than the timing

discrepancies. Furthermore, we selected the images with cloud cover of less than 10%. In this study, Band 1 and Band 9 were excluded in the analysis resulting in only ten bands being utilised.

2.3 Precipitation Data

The conditions of vegetation cover largely depend on climatic parameters such as rainfall. We generated a composite image for the time of interest using Google Earth Engine (GEE), where vegetation indices served as predictors in our model. CHIRPS (Climate Hazards Group Infrared Precipitation) data aided in creating dry-season and wet-season composites, helping us to identify months with different rainfall amounts in the study area in the years 2017 and 2018 (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Sentinel-2 spectral bands

Band Spectral region		Central wavelength(um)	Resolution(m)
1	Coastal aerosol	0.443	60
2	Blue	0.490	10
3	Green	0.560	10
4	Red	0.665	10
5	Vegetation Red Edge	0.705	20
6	Vegetation Red Edge	0.740	20
7	Vegetation Red Edge	0.783	20
8	Near Infrared	0.842	10
8A	Vegetation Red Edge	0.865	20
9	Water vapor	0.945	60
10	Shortwave Infrared	1.375	60
11	Shortwave Infrared	1.610	20
12	Shortwave Infrared	2.190	20



Fig. 2. Rainfall trends in 2017 and 2018

2.4 Target Species and Occurrence Data

A total of 432 occurrence records were gathered between January 2017 and December 2018 within the designated study area. These records include the X and Y coordinates (referencing WGS 1984, UTM Zone 37M) indicating the precise locations where Opuntia stricta was directly observed. Species occurrence points were collected using a handheld GPSMAP 64s unit in areas close to road networks, owing to the challenging terrain within the study region. The records were subsequently compiled in an Excel spreadsheet and exported as a commaseparated values (CSV) file, formatted for use in MaxEnt modelling, Additionally, supplementary occurrence points of Opuntia stricta were digitised from high-resolution Google Earth (Digital Globe) imagery.

2.5 Calculation of Vegetation Indices (Vis)

The selection of vegetation indices (Table 2) aimed to enhance the model's predictive accuracy while accounting for the unique characteristics of dryland environments and mitigating atmospheric and soil effects. Specifically, we incorporated the following vegetation indices into our analysis: Ratio Vegetation Index (RVI): Calculated as the ratio between the red band and the near-infrared (NIR) band, RVI captures vegetation characteristics based on their spectral reflectance properties Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI): Computes the difference between NIR and red reflectance divided by their sum, highlighting vegetation presence. NDVI values range between -1 and 1. Modified Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (MSAVI): A modified version of the Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (SAVI), MSAVI minimizes soil background effects to improve vegetation detection in dryland environments. Red EVI (Red Edge Vegetation Index) focuses on the red-edge portion of the spectrum, which is sensitive to changes in chlorophyll content and leaf structure. Opuntia stricta may exhibit distinct spectral responses in the red-edge region, allowing Red EVI to capture subtle differences between Opuntia stricta and other vegetation types or background materials. These vegetation indices chosen for their robustness were in characterizing vegetation cover, particularly in arid areas like those where Opuntia stricta thrives. By leveraging these indices, we aimed to enhance the discriminatory power of our classification model, thereby improving its ability

to accurately identify Opuntia stricta presence We integrated data across three distinct seasons-dry, wet, and short-dry-in 2017 and 2018 to enhance Opuntia stricta detection in our study area. The Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform (Gorelick et al. 2017) was employed to obtain, process, and compute textural metrics from Sentinel-2 metrics data (see Table 1) during these specific seasons. Ground truthing data for Opuntia were gathered using both our field knowledge and imagery from Google Earth (http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/). An image composite from several different image dates was deployed to improve target detection. Next, we calculated median composites using the Google Earth Engine platform. The temporal windows were selected based on the general climatological patterns of the study area, as well as the specific rainfall dynamics observed during the years under investigation (i.e., 2017 and 2018). These windows account for the short wet and short dry seasons, as outlined in Table 3.

2.6 MaxEnt Species Distribution Modelling

This study used MaxEnt (Maximum Entropy) version 3.3.3 e machine-learning algorithm to model the distribution of Opuntia Stricta in the southern Part of Tsavo East National Park, Kenya. MaxEnt uses presence-only data to define known conditions within the parameters of the independent variables to predict a species' distribution and excludes all conditions that are unfounded or undefined. The model is nonlinear, nonparametric. not sensitive and to multicollinearity. Besides having several evaluation features built into the program, MaxEnt also provides the percent contribution of each predictive variable (Phillips et al. 2004, Elith et al. 2011, Phillips et al. 2006).

2.6.1 Model calibration

"For improving the model performance, a convergence threshold of 10^{-5} was calibrated with a maximum number of iterations at 5000. These settings provide the models adequate time for convergence of input information to build-up the models. It should be noted that a high number of iterations gives the models sufficient time to process the data, thus avoiding over- or under-prediction of the species distribution. Other calibrations for improving the models included setting the maximum number of background points at 10 000, with a regularization multiplier value of 1. The run type was a cross-validation method that divides the original samples into a

set of training and testing of the models. The MaxEnt output was formatted to logistics with 75% of the occurrence records were used for training and 25% for random testing of the model. This means that 75% of the data inputs were used for suitability mapping of the invasive species in modelling and 25% of the data for random testing of the model generated by MaxEnt. Furthermore, an auto feature option was selected with 15 replications, and the rest were kept as default. By these, the occurrence data are randomly split into a number of equal-sized groups called 'folds', and models are created leaving out each fold in turn. These settings have been undertaken to give a broader and less discriminating prediction" (Phillips et al. 2006).

2.6.2 Model evaluation

The accuracy of the model was calculated using the receiver operating characteristics curve (ROC) and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) (Elith et al. 2011, Phillips et al. 2006, Mzungu et al. 2024). An AUC value of 0.5 shows that model predictions are not better than random; < 0.5 are worse than random; 0.5-0.7 indicates poor 0.7-0.9 performance: reasonable/moderate performance; and > 0.9, high performance (Peterson et al. 2011). In evaluating the most important predictors influencing Invasive species occurrence, the percentage contribution column was used to select the most important predictors (Table 4, Percentage contribution >0).

Table 2. Formulas of the existing Vegetation indices and references

Index	Description	Formula	Reference
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation	(NIR-RED)	Sun et al.,
	Index	(NIR+RED)	
RAVI	Ratio Vegetation Index	(R/NIR)	Sun et al.,
MSSAVI	Modified Secondary Soil Adjusted	1/2 * ((2*(NIR+1)) -	Sun et al.,
	Vegetation Index	(((2*NIR)+1)2 - 8(NIR-red))1/2).	
Red Edge	Red Edge Normalized Difference	(NIR – RE) (NIR + RE)	Sun et al.,
NDVI	Vegetation Index		

Table 3. Sentinel-2 image dates and image composites used to classify Opuntia stricta within the southern part of Tsavo East National Park

Index /Year	Start dates	End dates	Season
NDVI 2017	June 01, 2017	Oct 31,2017	Short Dry
	Nov 01,2017	Dec 31 2017	Short Wet
	Jan 01 2017	Dec 31 2017	Short Dry +Short Wet
NDVI 2018	Jan 01 2017	Feb 28 2018	Short Dry
	Mar 01 2018	May 31 2018	Short Wet
	Jan 01 2017	Dec 31 2018	Short Dry +Short Wet
RVI 2017	June 01, 2017	Oct 31,2017	Short dry
	Nov 01,2017	Dec 31 2017	Short wet
	Jan 01 2017	Dec 31 2017	Short Dry +Short Wet
RVI 2018	Jan 01 2018	Feb 28 2018	Short Dry
	Mar 01 2018	May 31 2018	Short Wet
	Jan 01 2017	Dec 31 2017	Short Dry +Short Wet
MSAVI 2017	June 01, 2017	Oct 31,2017	Short Dry
	Nov 01,2017 to	Dec 31 2017	Short Wet
	Jan 01 2017 to	Dec 31 2017	Short Dry +Short Wet
MSAVI 2018	Jan 01 2018 to	Feb 28 2018	Short Dry
	Mar 01 2018 to	May 31 2018	Short Wet
	Jan 01 2017 to	Dec 31 2018	Short Dry +Short Wet
DVI 2017	June 01, 2017 to	Oct 31,2017	Short Dry +Short Wet
	Nov 01,2017 to	Dec 31 2017	Short Dry
	Jan 01 2017 to	Dec 31 2017	Short Wet
DVI2018	Jan 01 2018 to	Feb 28 2018	Short Dry +Short Wet
	Mar 01 2018 to	May 31 2018	Short Dry
	Jan 01 2017 to	Dec 31 2018	Short Dry

2.6.3 Model Output

MaxEnt outputs included weighted environmental predictors ranked, showing each predictor's percentage contribution to the model's overall performance (Table 4) With the jackknife setting activated, MaxEnt weighed each variable contribution to the model's gain. The other outputs included response plots [Fig. 6] which were used to describe the tolerance limits of *O.stricta* to each variable. The plots showed each variable effect on the species being plotted and a final potential distribution model.

2.6.4 Predictive occurrence and habitat suitability for the *Opuntia stricta*

Based on prediction models, probability of the *Opuntia stricta* and habitat suitability maps were created and probability values were categorized into four suitability levels: 'very low' (0-0.2), 'low' (0.2-0.4), 'medium' (0.4-0.6), and 'high suitability' (0.6-1) (Figs. 6 and 7). This classification scheme has been extensively applied in past studies (Ansari & Ghoddousi 2018, Zhang et al. 2019, Obunga et al. 2022).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Model Performance

The MaxEnt models, run with 15 replications, provided reliable estimates for the distribution of *Opuntia stricta*, achieving a mean AUC of 0.718 (Fig. 3).

Fig. 4 depicts the jackknife measure of variable importance. The environmental variable with the highest training gain was used in isolation (blue bars) is NDVI 2017 short dry season which therefore appears to have the most useful information by itself. The environmental variable that decreases the gain most (light green bar) when omitted is NDVI 2017 short dry season for both scenario, which therefore appears to have the most information that isn't present in other variables. This is followed by NDVI 2017id (short dry and wet season), Ratio vegetation index 2017jd (short dry and wet season) and red edge NDVI 2017 short dry season. If MaxEnt uses only modified soil adjusted vegetation index (MSAVI short dry season) it achieves almost no gain, so that variable is not (by itself) useful for estimating the distribution of Opuntia stricta (Fig. 4).

3.2 Analysis of Variable Contributions

Table 4 shows the contribution of each explanatory variable within the MaxEnt model. The percentage contribution column shows how each variable is important to the model's predictions. For example. "ndvi2017dry" contributes 50.2% to the model's predictions. This indicates the relative importance of each variable in influencing the model's output. Higher percentages suggest greater importance while Lower percentage shows least importance. A large decrease indicates that the model depends heavily on that variable.



Fig. 3. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for the Opuntia stricta species



Fig. 4. The graph provided is a "Jackknife of AUC" (Area Under the Curve) analysis for the species *Opuntia stricta*. This graph shows the importance of different environmental variables in predicting the presence or suitability of habitat for the species

Table 4. Percentage contribution and permutation importance of predictor variables in M	/laxEnt
models	

Variable	Percentage contribution	Permutation importance
ndvi2017dry	55.5	50.1
rvi2018wet	15.4	7.6
rvi2017wet	9.9	7.7
msavi2018dry	7	17.8
rvi2018dry	6	10.7
redevi2017dry	5.4	1.9
rvi2017jd	0.5	0.9
ndvi2017jd	0.3	3.3

3.3 Response Curves

The response curves shown in Fig. 5 depicts how each environmental variable affects the Maxent prediction. The curves illustrate how the predicted probability of presence changes as each environmental variable is varied, keeping all other environmental variables at their average sample value.

3.4 Predictive Occurrence Map for the Opuntia stricta

Predicted probability of the *Opuntia stricta* is displayed in Fig. 6. Probability of *Opuntia stricta* presence was found to be high on the southern, eastern parts of the Tsavo East National Park.

3.5 Habitat Suitability Map for *Opuntia Stricta* Species

The MaxEnt software was used to model suitable sites within the study area against selected environmental variables, which included the vegetation indices. This analysis generated distinct classifications, classifying *Opuntia* stricta suitable habitats into high, medium, low, and very low-preference areas within the study area (Fig. 7). An area of 51km² was identified as highly preferred habitat for *Opuntia stricta* growth. Further, an area measuring 37 km²,83 km² and 3589.36km² were classified as medium, and very low habitat suitability areas for *Opuntia stricta* occurrence respectively, within the southern part of the Tsavo East National Park ecosystem.

Predicted Potential distribution of the invasive cactus *Opuntia stricta* on the maximum entropy model in the southern part of Tsavo East National Park. Cooler areas indicate low habitat suitability while warmer areas correspond with high habitat suitability. According to (Hestir et al. 2008) study on land cover mapping in savannah using combination of seasons and sensors found out that short-dry season outperform the dry and wet season models, as the effect of season is able to provide cloud free data and is wet enough to allow for the distinction between woody and herbaceous vegetation.







Fig. 5. The *Opuntia stricta* response curves for the environmental predictors, where: Normalized Difference Vegetation Index 2017 dry season (A), Ratio Vegetation Index 2018 wet season (B), Modified Secondary Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index 2018 dry season (C), Ratio Vegetation Index 2017 wet season (D), Ratio Vegetation Index 2018 dry season (E) and Red Edge Normalized Difference Vegetation 2017 dry season (F)



Fig. 6. Predictive occurrence map of *Opuntia stricta* in Southern part of Tsavo East National Park. Probability of one indicates a high likelihood of finding the species within the raster square, and zero indicates that it is unlikely that the species will be found there

4. DISCUSSION

Our findings suggest that a MaxEnt model using limited presence-only data can effectively estimate habitat suitability for invasive species across the Tsavo landscape. Most suitable habitat for Opuntia stricta was predicted in the southern tip of the Tsavo East National Park (TENP) parts that include the Bachuma areas, Ndara plains and Mukwaju areas (Fig. 6), and its distribution was quite fragmented. The MaxEnt model's internal jack knife test of variable importance showed that 'ndvi 2017 dry)', and 'rvi2018wet)' were the two most important predictors of Opuntia stricta habitat distribution (Fig. 5 and Table 4). These variables presented the higher gain (that is, contained most information) compared to other variables (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Using four arbitrarily defined probability classes, the high suitability class had an area of 51 km²; medium-37 km²; low- 83 km²; and very low-3589 km² (Fig. 5 and Table 5). This study provides the first predicted potential habitat suitability map for invasive species (*Opuntia stricta*) in the TENP. Our findings are in agreement that vegetation indices such as NDVI can be used to detect the occurrence of *Opuntia stricta* in the park.

"From this view our study agrees with findings of other researchers like" (Bradley & Mustard 2005, Peterson 2006, Kandwal et al. 2009, Evangelista et al. 2009, Amiri et al. 2013). "TVI and DVI indices were used for mapping the invasive species Tamarisk in the lower Arkansas River in Southwestern Colorado" (Evangelista et al. 2009). Studies by (Amiri et al. 2013) on Identification of invasive species using remote sensing and vegetation indices showed that NDVI, RVI, TVI and NRVI were the most suitable indices for the discrimination of *Cirsium arvense* species. These studies are consistent with our findings.



39°0'E

Fig. 7. The habitat suitability for *Opuntia stricta* in the Southern part of Tsavo East National Park

Table 5.	Habitat	suitability	area for	Opuntia	Stricta	in the	Southern	part of	Tsavo	East N	Vational
					Park						

Suitability areas	Very Low (km ²)	Low (km ²)	Medium (km ²)	High (km ²)
South of TENP	3589.36	83.25	37.85	51.34

"The applications of medium spatial resolution (20m) satellite imagery in the rangeland for vegetation condition assessment and monitoring has proven successful in yielding acceptable results. For instance, the study by" (Jafari et al. 2017) on MODIS NDVI index at different landscapes indicates that this index has high potential in detecting vegetation cover and discriminating different condition classes. The NDVI index was able to discriminate rangeland condition classes, especially extreme classes, across and within the vegetation types. Similarly, potential of Sentinel-2, was used in the detection of Opuntia stricta abundance based on only the spectral bands as well as in combination with different season (Muthoka et al. 2021). Our study confirms that vegetation indices such as NDVI

can be used to detect the occurrence of *Opuntia stricta* in the park.

This is the first comprehensive study that employs optical satellite images data for both wet and dry seasons in identifying invasive species *Opuntia stricta* in the Tsavo East National Park. Furthermore, no study has explored on how vegetation indices can be used in detecting the invasive species. Medium resolution (30m) Sentinel-2 multi-time imagery from the Google Earth Engine was used to create composite images of interest. This study incorporated both wet season, dry season and all-season indicators to effectively capture the complexities of the change, and sought to develop a comprehensive model for spatial-distribution of the impact of *Opuntia stricta* in the study area.

4.1 Role of Season Indices

Both dry and wet season Sentinel-2 composites were used for classification. The integration of data from several seasons and sensors provided a more accurate classification. The target vegetation types were taken into consideration while analysing the data (Borges et al. 2020). A study done by (Borges et al. 2020) on Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 data for Savannah Land Cover Mapping: Optimizing the Combination of Sensors and Seasons proved that the short-dry season should be preferred over the wet and dry seasons for both multisensor combinations and optical data. Similarly, our study analysis found out that short dry season composites performed best in detecting the Opuntia stricta plant. The grasses, woody cover and other herbaceous vegetation turn brown in dry seasons (January to March, July to September 2017 and 2018 respectively), while the Opuntia stricta vegetation would appear green throughout both seasons and thus making it possible to differentiate positively.

The difference between the dry and the combination of wet and dry is minimal. We therefore considered the dry season, characterised by no rains, to be equally suitable for Opuntia stricta detection. Field observations during the dry period indicated that Opuntia stricta remained relatively green throughout the vear due to retention moisture in their succulent stems. These Opuntia stricta stands are easily identifiable during this extreme dry seasons of the year. The invasive species survive during dry periods by retaining their moisture in their succulent stems. Opuntia species normally thrives in arid and semi-arid areas, and has adaptations to water scarcity and high temperatures. The semi-arid environments are the habitats of plants which have adapted in severe hot and dry regions (Jafari et al. 2017). Vegetation indices improved the detection accuracies, signalling better habitat suitability areas where Opuntia are likely to occur. Similarly, studies by (Amiri et al. 2013) support our findings that combined remotely-sensed data (vegetation indices) with the invasive species occurrence data can be used in a predictive model to identify sites vulnerable to species invasion. Since MaxEnt is an important tool for mapping the plant invasions, the results can help scientists and Park Managers to decide when

and where to prioritize monitoring efforts for the likely invasion of habitat in future (Jafari et al. 2017).

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION

Our study sought to examine how the vegetation indices can detect the Invasive Opuntia stricta using Remote Sensing and MaxEnt in Tsavo East National Park, Kenya. This was the first time that Opuntia stricta suitability mapping has been conducted based on satellite seasonal composites hence providing novel insights into its suitability especially in the protected areas. The results demonstrated that ndvi2017dry, rvi2017wet, msavi2018dry and rvi2018wet. rvi2018dry were the most effective Vegetation Indices (Vis) for detection of Opuntia stricta. Finally, the potential habitat distribution map developed in this research could inform the management where to prioritize restoration efforts and guide-evidence based conservation strategies. In the future, we intend to improve on this work by calculating composites from all the bands individually to improve on the accuracy of Opuntia stricta detection in remote sensing imagery for generating subsequent species distribution maps

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Authors hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank everyone for their contributions especially Faith Ashiono for assisting in the preparation of the analysis data and Ilja Vuorinne for his valuable input in the methodology section. Mika Siljander thanks the KONE Foundation [grant number 202101976] for supporting this work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Amiri, M., Solaimani, K., Tamartash, R., & Miryaghoubzadeh, M. (2013). Identification of invasive species using remote sensing and vegetation indices,(case study: Vazroud Rangelands, Iran). Journal of Rangeland Science, 3(1), 59-70.

- Ansari, M., & Ghoddousi, A. (2018). Water availability limits brown bear distribution at the southern edge of its global range. Ursus, 29(1), 13-24.
- Borges, J., Higginbottom, T. P., Symeonakis, E., & Jones, M. (2020). Sentinel-1 and sentinel-2 data for savannah land cover mapping: Optimising the combination of sensors and seasons. Remote Sensing, 12(23), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12233862
- Bradley, B. A., & Mustard, J. F. (2005). Identifying land cover variability distinct from land cover change: Cheatgrass in the Great Basin. Remote Sensing of Environment, 94(2), 204–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2004.08.016
- CABI, 2014. Invasive Species Compendium [WWW Document]. CAB Int, Wallinford, UK. URL. www.cabi.org/isc
- Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, et al (2011) A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. Divers Distrib17:43–57. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00725.x
- Evangelista, P. H., Stohlgren, T. J., Morisette, J. T., & Kumar, S. (2009). Mapping invasive tamarisk (Tamarix): a comparison of single-scene and time-series analyses of remotely sensed data. Remote Sensing, 1(3), 519-533.
- Foxcroft, L. C., & Rejmánek, M. (2007). What helps Opuntia stricta invade Kruger National Park, South Africa: Baboons or elephants? Applied Vegetation Science, 10(2), 265–270. https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1654-

109X.2007.tb00525.x

Foxcroft, Llewellyn C., Pyšek, P., Richardson, D. M., & Genovesi, P. (2013). Plant invasions in protected areas: Patterns, problems and challenges. In Plant Invasions in Protected Areas: Patterns, Problems and Challenges (Issue January).

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-7750-7

Fuller, D. O. (2005). Remote detection of invasive Melaleuca trees (Melaleuca quinquenervia) in South Florida with multispectral IKONOS imagery. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 26(5), 1057–1063. https://doi.org/10.1080/0143006051233131 4119

- García, J. T., García, F. J., Alda, F., González, J. L., Aramburu, M. J., Cortés, Y., Prieto, B., Pliego, B., Pérez, M., Herrera, J., & García-Román, L. (2012). Recent invasion and status of the raccoon (Procyon lotor) in Spain. Biological Invasions, 14(7), 1305– 1310.
- Githae, E. W. (2018). Status of Opuntia invasions in the arid and semi-arid lands of Kenya. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources, 13(February). https://doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR2018130 03
- Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., Ilyushchenko, S., Thau, D., & Moore, R. (2017). Google Earth Engine: Planetaryscale geospatial analysis for everyone. Remote Sensing of Environment, 202(2016), 18–27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2017.06.031

Hamada, Y., Stow, D. A., Coulter, L. L., Jafolla, J. C., & Hendricks, L. W. (2007). Detecting Tamarisk species (Tamarix spp.) in riparian habitats of Southern California using high spatial resolution hyperspectral imagery. Remote Sensing of Environment, 109(2), 237–248.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSE.2007.01.003

- Hestir, E. L., Khanna, S., Andrew, M. E., Santos, M. J., Viers, J. H., Greenberg, J. A., ... & Ustin, S. L. (2008). Identification of invasive vegetation using hyperspectral remote sensing in the California Delta ecosystem. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112(11), 4034-4047.
- Huang, C. ying, & Asner, G. P. (2009). Applications of remote sensing to alien invasive plant studies. Sensors (Switzerland), 9(6), 4869–4889. https://doi.org/10.3390/s90604869
- Jafari, R., Bashari, H., & Tarkesh, M. (2017). Discriminating and monitoring rangeland condition classes with MODIS NDVI and EVI indices in Iranian arid and semi-arid lands. Arid Land Research and Management, 31(1), 94–110. https://doi.org/10.1080/15324982.2016.122 4955
- Kandwal, R., Jeganathan, C., Tolpekin, V., & Kushwaha, S. P. S. (2009). Discriminating the invasive species, "lantana" using Vegetation indices. Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing, 37(2), 275– 290.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-009-0027-5

- Kannan, R., Shackleton, C. M., & Shaanker, R. U. (2014). Invasive alien species as drivers in socio-ecological systems: Local adaptations towards use of Lantana in Southern India. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 16(3), 649–669. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-013-9500-y
- Leuthold, W. (1978). On the Ecology of the Gerenuk Litocranius walleri. Journal of Animal Ecology, 47(2), 561–580. https://doi.org/10.2307/3801
- Mcknight, B. (2000). Pachyder m. Specialist, 29.
- Musengi, K., Mbonani, S., & Byrne, M. J. (2021). Host suitability of three Opuntia taxa for the Dactylopius opuntiae (Hemiptera: Dactylopiidae) 'stricta' lineage. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 31(11), 1161– 1173.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2021.193 2747

Muthoka, J. M., Salakpi, E. E., Ouko, E., Yi, Z. F., Antonarakis, A. S., & Rowhani, P. (2021). Article mapping opuntia stricta in the arid and semi-arid environment of Kenya using sentinel-2 imagery and ensemble machine learning classifiers. Remote Sensing, 13(8), 1–21.

https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13081494

Mzungu, R., Makokha, G., Fondo, E., Siljander , M., Mueni , E., & Kiilu , B. (2024). Population, Distribution Structure, and Fishery Potential of the Golden Deep-Sea Crab, Chaceon somaliensis in the Kenyan Coast in East Africa. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International, 28(6), 93-111.

https://doi.org/10.9734/jgeesi/2024/v28i678

Naharki, K., Huebner, C. D., & Park, Y. L. (2024). The Detection of Tree of Heaven (Ailanthus altissima) Using Drones and Optical Sensors: Implications for the Management of Invasive Plants and Insects. Drones, 8(1).

https://doi.org/10.3390/drones8010001

Ng, W. T., Rima, P., Einzmann, K., Immitzer, M., Atzberger, C., & Eckert, S. (2017). Assessing the potential of sentinel-2 and pléiades data for the detection of prosopis and vachellia spp. In Kenya. Remote Sensing, 9(1).

https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9010074

Ngene, S., Njumbi, S., Ngoru, B., Nzisa, M., Bitok, E., Poghon, J., Muya, S., & Gombe, A. (2013). Satellite-linked GPS collars deployed on elephants in Tsavo ecosystem, Kenya. Recent Advances in Satellite Research and Development, 1–31

- Obunga, G., Siljander, M., Maghenda, M., & Pellikka, P. K. E. (2022). Habitat suitability modelling to improve conservation status of two critically endangered endemic Afromontane forest bird species in Taita Hills, Kenya. Journal for Nature Conservation, 65, 126111.
- Ouko, E., Omondi, S., Mugo, R., Wahome, A., Kasera, K., Nkurunziza, E., ... & Wambua, M. (2020). Modeling invasive plant species in Kenya's Northern Rangelands. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, 69.
- Peterson, A. T., Soberón, J., Pearson, R. G., Anderson, R. P., Martínez-Meyer, E., Nakamura, M., & Araújo, M. B. (2011).
 Ecological niches and geographic distributions (MPB-49). In Ecological niches and geographic distributions (MPB-49). Princeton University Press
- Peterson, E. B. 2006. A map of invasive annual grasses in Nevada derived from multitemporal Landsat 5 TM imagery. Report for the U.S.D.I. Bureau of Land Management, Nevada State Office, Reno, by the Nevada Natural Heritage Program, Carson City, Nevada.
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol Modell 190:231–259. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026
- Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Schapire RE (2004) A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling.
- Pinter-Wollman, N. (2009). Spatial behaviour of translocated African elephants (Loxodonta africana) in a novel environment: Using behaviour to inform conservation actions. Behaviour, 146(9), 1171–1192. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853909X41310 5
- Pyšek, P., & Richardson, D. M. (2010). Invasive species, environmental change and management, and health. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 35, 25–55. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-033009-095548
- Rees, C. B. Van, Thackeray, S. J., Waylen, K. A., Kalinkat, G., Schmidt-kloiber, A., Hermoso, V., & Schinegger, R. (2021). Safeguarding freshwater life beyond 2020: Recommendations for the new global biodiversity framework from the European experience. September 2020, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12771

- Resasco, J., Hale, A. N., Henry, M. C., & Gorchov, D. L. (2007). Detecting an invasive shrub in a deciduous forest understory using latefall Landsat sensor imagery. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 28(16), 3739–3745. https://doi.org/10.1080/0143116070137372
- Shackleton, R.T., Witt, A.B.R., Piroris, F.M. et al. Distribution and socio-ecological impacts of the invasive alien cactus Opuntia stricta in eastern Africa. Biol Invasions 19, 2427– 2441 (2017).

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-017-1453-x

Simberloff, D., Martin, J. L., Genovesi, P., Maris, V., Wardle, D. A., Aronson, J., Courchamp, F., Galil, B., García-Berthou, E., Pascal, M., Pyšek, P., Sousa, R., Tabacchi, E., & Vilà, M. (2013). Impacts of biological invasions: What's what and the way forward. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 28(1), 58–66.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.013

- Skowronek, Sandra, Asner, Gregory P., Feilhauer, Hannes, Performance of oneclass classifiers for invasive species mapping using airborne imaging spectroscopy, Ecological Informatics (2016), DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoinf.2016.11.005
- Strum, S. C., Stirling, G., & Mutunga, S. K. (2015). The perfect storm: Land use change promotes Opuntia stricta's invasion of pastoral rangelands in Kenya. Journal of Arid Environments, 118, 37–47. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarid env.2015.02.01
- Tyrrell, J. G., & Coe, M. J. (1974). The rainfall regime of Tsavo National Park, Kenya and its potential phenological significance. Journal of Biogeography, 187-192.
- Waititu, J. M., Mundia, C. N., & Sichangi, A. W. (2022). Assessing distribution changes of selected native and alien invasive plant

species under changing climatic conditions in Nyeri County, Kenya. Plos one, 17(10), e0275360.

- Wallace, C. S. A., Walker, J. J., Skirvin, S. M., Patrick-Birdwell, C., Weltzin, J. F., & Raichle, H. (2016). Mapping presence and predicting phenological status of invasive buffelgrass in Southern Arizona using MODIS, climate and citizen science observation data. Remote Sensing, 8(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8070524
- Wells, H. B. M., Kirobi, E. H., Chen, C. L., Winowiecki, L. A., Vågen, T. G., Ahmad, M. N., Stringer, L. C., & Dougill, A. J. (2021). Equity in ecosystem restoration. Restoration Ecology, 29(5), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13385
- Wilson, J. R. U., Gairifo, C., Gibson, M. R., Arianoutsou, M., Bakar, B. B., Baret, S., Celesti-Grapow, L., Ditomaso, J. M., Dufour-Dror, J. M., Kueffer, C., Kull, C. A., Hoffmann, J. H., Impson, F. A. C., Loope, L. L., Marchante, E., Marchante, H., Moore, J. L., Murphy, D. J., Tassin, J., ... Richardson, (2011). D. Μ. Risk assessment, eradication, and biological control: Global efforts to limit Australian acacia invasions. Diversity and Distributions, 17(5), 1030–1046. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00815.x
- Xue, J., & Su, B. (2017). Significant remote sensing vegetation indices: A review of developments and applications. Journal of Sensors, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1353691
- Zhang, J., Jiang, F., Li, G., Qin, W., Li, S., Gao, H., ... & Zhang, T. (2019). Maxent modeling for predicting the spatial distribution of three raptors in the Sanjiangyuan National Park. China. Ecology and evolution, 9(11), 6643-6654.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127212